

Adhesions after Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling in the Treatment of Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Should it be a Concern?

Murat Api^{1,*}

¹Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Adana Numune Education and Research Hospital, Adana, Turkey

*Corresponding author: Murat Api, Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Adana Numune Education and Research Hospital, Adana, Turkey. Tel: +90-5424241807, Fax: +90-2163622280, E-mail: muratapi@hotmail.com.

Received: February 13, 2013; Accepted: May 15, 2013

Context: In order to restore ovulation, puncturing ovaries with a needle powered by electricity, under laparoscopic observation, in women with anovulatory infertility with polycystic ovarian syndrome is called laparoscopic ovarian drilling (LOD). Although peri-adnexial adhesion after the surgery is inevitable, there is lack of evidence regarding the clinical significance. The objective of the present study is to review the available literature in order to determine the rate of periadnexial adhesions following LOD, and to assess the effect of these adhesions on pregnancy rates.

Evidence Acquisition: Electronic search of MEDLINE and EMBASE for English-language and non-English-language articles from 1984 to 2012 and manual search of bibliographies from identified articles were evaluated. Sixteen articles were found to be eligible to obtain main outcome measures. Post-operative adhesion rates were reported to be 0-100% (mean 35.5%, 95%CI: 30.8-40.4) while pregnancy rates after the procedure in these articles were 35-87% (mean 64.3% 95%CI: 58.2-70.7) of the cases.

Results: There were no correlation between the adhesion rates and pregnancy rates (Spearman's rho=0.055, P=0.858). None of the preventive measures during or after the procedure were found to be effective in reducing the rate of post-operative adhesions, thereby increasing the pregnancy rates. The incidence of peri-adnexial adhesions after LOD might not be associated with adverse reproductive outcomes.

Conclusions: Until more effective, safer and non-invasive treatments become available, LOD is a relatively safe and effective second-line procedure in anovulatory infertile women with PCOS resistant to clomiphene citrate.

Keywords: Peri-adnexial Adhesions; Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling; Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome; Pregnancy Rate

1. Context

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrinopathies, affecting 5% to 10% of the women in their reproductive ages. The first invasive approach to treat PCOS was performed by ovarian wedge resection described by Stein and Leventhal in the early 1935 (1). Until medical treatment became available, surgical therapy was commenced successfully (2). However, the procedure was often associated with the development of peri-adnexial adhesions; negating the beneficial effects of surgery (3, 4). Advances in laparoscopic techniques have resulted in a resurgence of interest in surgical induction of ovulation. In 1984, Gjonnaess created 8 to 15 craters by using a unipolar electrode, 2 to 4 mm deep in the capsule of each ovary. Ovulation was restored in 92% of the patients with a pregnancy rate of 80% (5). The laparoscopic procedure is a less invasive modification of ovarian wedge resection, and is known as laparoscopic ovarian drilling (LOD). In the consensus meeting of the Thessaloniki ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Workshop

Group, laparoscopic ovarian surgery is reported to be an alternative to gonadotrophin therapy for CC-resistant anovulatory women with PCOS (Consensus Workshop Group 2008). Therefore, surgery can still be a reasonable option for women with PCOS who fail to conceive after losing weight and trying the first-line fertility medicines. Nevertheless, several drawbacks prevent LOD from being considered as a secondary approach to the treatment of clomiphene citrate (CC) resistant women with PCOS. Those concerns are the risk inherent to any laparoscopic surgical procedure or the LOD related complications such as theoretical risk of premature ovarian failure or the risk of adhesion formation potentially interfering with fertility. Although the available data in the literature is limited, there was no concrete evidence of a diminished ovarian reserve or premature ovarian failure due to LOD in women with PCOS. Most of the changes in the ovarian reserve markers observed after LOD could be interpreted as normalization of ovarian function rather than a reduction of ovarian reserve (6). Adhesion formation related to pregnancy outcome is an important aspect of LOD. In the

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

The objective of the present study is to review the available literature to determine the rate of periadnexial adhesions following LOD, and to assess the effect of these adhesions on pregnancy rates. In this study, the incidence of peri-adnexial adhesions after LOD was found to be not associated with adverse reproductive outcome.

Copyright © 2014, Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Center and Mediterranean & Middle Eastern Endoscopic Surgery Association. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

current practice, it is taken for granted that LOD causes abdomino-pelvic adhesions which results in further impairment in the reproductive performance of women with PCOS. This is based on a reportedly different amount and severity of adhesions seen in second look laparoscopy and leads to the obvious conclusion that surgery is not the choice which exposes women potentially to severe morbidity. This clinical approach should be challenged as, in these patients; good pregnancy outcomes are obtainable with safe, effective and inexpensive surgical technique that can last for prolonged period of time (7, 8). If further studies confirm the efficacy and safety of LOD in women with CC resistant PCOS, patients, who consent to gonadotrophins should no longer be sought based solely on the purported morbidity of surgical alternative. An increased risk for adhesion after LOD is well established, but excess morbidity related to the LOD on fertility is unclear. This review concentrates on the rate of postsurgical adhesions after LOD by searching available data, and its impact on reproductive outcome.

2. Evidence Acquisition

An electronic search of MEDLINE and EMBASE for English-language and non-English-language articles from

the date of the first LOD report which was published in the literature; 1984 to 2012 and a manual search of bibliographies from identified articles, were allowed to select all available studies (5, 9-22). Neither abstracts published in congress proceedings and scientific journals were included, nor animal studies were accepted. Sixteen human studies directly or indirectly addressed the issue of adhesion formation after LOD in women with PCOS were identified and included in this review. Since the “1991 Gurgan et al.” study (9) which used different energy modality in independent groups of subjects, and revealed the post-operative adhesion and pregnancy rates separately; the summary of the results are given separately in the Table 1 and, Figures 1 and 2. Adhesion rates were calculated by using the numerator figure; revealed as any adhesion observed during the second look operation divided by denominator figure; number of bilateral or unilateral LOD applied subjects undergone second look operation. The 95% confidence interval of the adhesion rates and total adhesion rate was calculated by MedCalc software (MedCalc Turkey, Version 11.1). The correlation between adhesion rates and pregnancy rates was assessed by using the correlation coefficient of Spearman’s Rho by using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 13, Chicago, IL).

Table 1. The summary of studies demonstrating the postoperative adhesion and pregnancy rates after laparoscopic ovarian drilling for ovulation induction in anovulatory women with clomiphene resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome

Author(s) and Journal name	Publication year	Total, No.	Second look, No.	Adhesion, No.	Adhesion rate, %	Pregnancy rate, %
Gjonnaess. Fertility Sterility(5)	1984	62	6	1	16.6	80
Gurgan et al. Fertility Sterility (9) (electrocautery)	1991	10	10	8	80	57
Gurgan et al. Fertility Sterility(9)(laser)	1991	7	7	6	85	40
Dabirashrafi et al. Fertility Sterility (10)	1991	38	22	2	18	NR ^a
Gurgan et al. Obstet Gynecol (9)	1992	19	19	13	68	47
Naether and Fisher. Fertility Sterility (12)	1993	199	62	12	19.3	NR
Naether et al. Fertility Sterility (11)	1993	133	26	7	26.9	70
Greenblatt and Casper Fertility Sterility (14)	1993	8	8	8	100	87.5
Liguori et al. Gynecol Endocrinol (15)	1996	97	30	7	23.3	81.1
Saravelos and Li. Hum Reprod (16)	1996	21	21	12	57	50
Merchant. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc (17)	1996	74	20	2	10	84
Taskin et al. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc (18)	1999	18	9	4	48	NR
Felemban et al. Fertility Sterility (39)	2000	112	15	4	26.6	72
Kaya et al. J Minimally Invasive Gynecology (19)	2005	17	8	0	0	35.3
Takahashi et al. Obstet and Gynecol Survey (20)	2007	39	39	3	7.7	87.2
Mercorio et al. Fertility Sterility (21)	2008	96	90	54	60	NR
Roy et al. Arch Gynecol Obstet (22)	2009	44	16	0	0	45.4
Total numbers and average rates		994	408	145	38^b	64.3

^a NR, not reported

^b Weighted postoperative adhesion rate is 35.5% (145/408)




Figure 1. Adhesion and Pregnancy Rates (%) After LOD in Patients with CC Resistant PCOS. Studies (Both Pregnancy and Adhesion Rates Available Included) Were Ordered According to the Adhesion Rates From 0% (Kaya et al.(19) and Roy et al. (22)) to 100% (Greenblatt and Casper (14)). Only First Author's Names and Publication Years Were Shown.




Figure 2. Adhesion Rates After LOD in Patients With PCOS Resistant To CC Were Demonstrated

3. Results

The rate of postoperative adhesions has been reported to be between 0-100% and the reported rate of pregnancy after LOD was 35-87%. However, there is a lack of correlation between the adhesion rate and pregnancy rate among the studies, for example. where 100% adhesion rate was reported the pregnancy rate was 87.2% in the study published by Greenblatt and Casper (14). On the contrary, Kaya et al. reported a 35.3% pregnancy rate in their study without any postoperative adhesions (19). The average adhesion rate calculated from a total of 16 studies was 35.5% (95%CI: 30.8-40.4) with a mean pregnancy rate of 64.3% (95%CI: 58.2-70.7) (Table 1).

Figure 1 shows the rate of adhesion in comparison to

the rate of pregnancy in 13 studies where both figures were available. There was no correlation between adhesion rates and pregnancy rates in either direction (Spearman's rho = 0.055, P = 0.858). The rate of adhesions and 95% confidence interval of those are shown in Figure 2. Since the sample size is too small for each study, the corresponding confidence intervals are wide and the rate of adhesion among the studies was distributed heterogeneously. The overall adhesion rate after LOD was calculated to be 35.5% (95%CI: 30.8-40.4).

The revealed studies sequenced in chronological order from up to down in the y axis. Horizontal lines represent the mean adhesion percentage (round dots and beside figures) and 95% Confidence interval for each study. (in 1991 Gurgan et al. study (9), electrocautery (electr) or laser were used as the energy modality in separate groups).

4. Conclusions

Risk and benefit of any infertility treatment must be balanced accordingly. In CC resistant anovulatory women with PCOS, treatment of infertility is carried out through either surgical or medical induction of ovulation. Operational alternative is currently being applied through laparoscopic approach. Despite being minimally invasive, LOD results in postoperative adhesions; although at a lower rate when compared to ovarian wedge resection by laparotomy. Compo reviewed the literature, from 1969 to 1996, concerning adhesion formation as a complication of surgical treatment of PCOS. After ovarian wedge resection by laparotomy, the mean incidence of adhesions was 90% (range: 42-100%) (23). Incidence of adherence formation strongly depends on the diagnostic efforts and the scoring system applied. In the present review, adhesion rates after LOD were found to be varying from 0-100% in the published studies, being 35.5% on the average. These studies reported a mean pregnancy rate of 64.3%. There was no correlation between postoperative adhesion rates and pregnancy rates. The documented adhesions were not uniformly distributed and their severity was wavering among the studies. Although the postoperative adhesions are inevitable after LOD, pregnancy rates are still acceptable. Nevertheless, only a few women who were initially treated with ovarian drilling eventually had a second-look laparoscopy or laparotomy in the published articles. LOD is a relatively safe surgical procedure with rare immediate complication rates. Out of 778 cases of LOD, two cases with hemorrhage requiring laparotomy and one case with bowel perforation have been reported (24). Premature ovarian failure is another concern with LOD, especially when a large number of punctures are used (6). However, long-term follow-up of women with PCOS treated with LOD has been reassuring. In this respect (7, 25) Kaaijk et al. (26) reported retrospectively on the clinical outcome of unilateral oophorectomy in 14 women with PCOS. Unilateral oophorectomy restored

regular menstrual cycles in 12 of the 14 patients. Thirteen years later, nine out of 12 patients still had regular menstrual cycles. Ten patients wished to become pregnant and seven of them conceived spontaneously. None of the women entered menopause within 14-18 years after surgery. They concluded that even unilateral oophorectomy restores ovulatory function for many years in the majority of patients and does not result in premature ovarian failure (26). The long-term follow-up study by Dahlgren et al. showed that menopause occurred later in PCOS women who underwent ovarian wedge resection compared with non-PCOS women (27). According to my previous report, there seems to be a higher ovarian reserve in the PCOS patients when compared with that in the normal ovulatory controls. This higher ovarian reserve might be the patho-physiological explanation of the high ovarian activity and leading to anovulation phenomenon and other endocrinological disturbances in PCOS. This proposed mechanism of exaggerated ovarian activity (at supra-physiologic state) can be reduced to the physiological level by destruction or removal of some part of the ovary by means of surgical procedure, namely LOD, but sometimes the reduction created by LOD remains insufficient; therefore, the ovarian hyperfunction still stays at supra-physiologic state (6). Patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome, who were resistant to ovulation induction with clomiphene, showed no difference in live birth and miscarriage rates compared to gonadotrophin therapy when treated with LOD using diathermy or laser (Workshop Group Consensus 2008). LOD had also advantages, for instance significantly lower multiple pregnancy rates without the risk of OHSS (28). In addition, LOD may be useful in anovulatory women with PCOS who need laparoscopic assessment of their pelvis. Laparoscopy remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of endometriosis (RCOG 2000). Laparoscopic treatment is effective for pain relief in minimal to moderate endometriosis (29) and for minimal and mild endometriosis to improve fertility (30, 31). However, laparoscopy itself is also an invasive procedure. Though laparoscopic surgery has established its firm foothold in current surgical practice, it is not without risks. A multi-center study from France analyzing the data of 29,966 procedures, reported an overall complication rate of 4.64/1,000 and a risk of mortality of 3.3/100,000 in laparoscopic procedures (32). Laparoscopy is categorized as a major procedure and, arguably, these values are not high, as all major procedures carry a 1/4,000 risk of death (RCOG 2004). Major complication rates following laparoscopy appear to be low (33). Studies from Holland (34) and Finland (35) show that major complication rates following diagnostic procedures were much lower (0.6/1,000 and 2.7/1,000) than after advanced laparoscopic surgery (10.5/1,000 and 17.9/1,000). These studies show that laparoscopic surgery is generally safe and that the higher incidence of complications with

advanced procedures conforms to the principle that the risks of surgery are proportional to its extent and complexity. For the patients who prefer a verbal description of risk, it has been proposed that a common vocabulary as follows (36):

Negligible: A probability of below 1 in a million Minimal:

Less than 1 in 100,000

Very low: Less than 1 in 10,000

Low: Less than 1 in 1,000

Moderate: Less than 1 in 100

High: A probability of greater than 1 in 100

Using this terminology, the serious risks associated with laparoscopy can be described as "low" and the risk of death as "very low." Therefore, the risk of postoperative adhesion and the theoretical risk of premature ovarian failure should be balanced by the achieved pregnancy rates. Limitations of this review consisted possible publication bias, as well as variation among cohort studies in sample size, duration of observation, selection of control groups, ascertainment of pregnancy after LOD, and adjustments for co-morbid conditions. The indication for second look laparoscopy in the different studies is unknown. The patients might represent cases that failed to get pregnant, and this would seriously impair the conclusion drawn. Studies with widely different numbers of patients are relatively given the same weight in the statistical analysis. For instance, an adhesion rate of 100% and a pregnancy rate of 88.5% that was reported by Greenblatt and Casper (14) were based on only 8 patients. Most of the studies in this review were not specifically designed to evaluate the postsurgical adhesion rates. The different amount of adhesion rates among the studies might be due to the heterogeneous nature of the trials. Even the definition of PCOS was changed by the time when reviewed articles were being published, such as 1990 NIH criteria, 2003 Rotterdam criteria (37, 38) were reported during this time period. Consequently, studies are so diverged in terms of studied population's diagnostic criteria, purpose of the studies, instrumentation used, amount of energy and modality applied during the procedure, co-interventions to prevent adhesions, methods of second look, and time gap between the first operations and second look operation. Not all the patients in the included studies had been reevaluated by a second look operation. Therefore unavoidable selection bias could have occurred. Furthermore, in some studies the adhesion formation after LOD was assessed by second look laparoscopy but in others the assessment was performed during the cesarean section. In patients where the adhesion formation was assessed during the cesarean section as the second look procedure, the adhesion rate could have been underestimated, conversely, when it is evaluated only in women who have not been conceived in the follow-up period, the adhesion rate

could have been overestimated. Most of the peri-adnexal adhesions after LOD were filmy, minimal, and found on the ovarian surface. Several adhesion preventive measures were described. Merchant has reported the efficacy of laparoscopic low-watt bipolar electrocoagulation of the ovaries in women with PCOS. At second-look laparoscopy or cesarean section in 20 women, fine string-like adhesions on the ovaries were found in two (10%) women (17). Thus, it is hypothesized that bipolar energy is safer than unipolar (monopolar) energy in terms of the risk of postoperative adhesions and the risk of overtreatment that can lead to ovarian failure. Restriction in the number of punctures (20), use of an insulated needle (39), activation the coagulating current only after the needle is inside the ovarian stroma (20), irrigation of the peritoneal cavity at the end of the procedure (12, 20-22), adhesion barriers like Interceed (Gynecare Interceed®) (14, 16), adhesiolysis at the second look operation (30), using different energy modality (9) and bilateral or unilateral application of the procedure (10, 22) were recommended without robust and convincing evidence. Although some adhesion preventive measures like microlaparoscopy and decreased CO₂ exposure on peritoneal microcirculation (18) or laparoscopic ovarian multi-needle intervention (19) were found to be effective, the number of subjects in each study is not enough for recommendation. Randomized controlled trials on each preventive measure with appropriate sample size are mandatory. On the other hand, medical treatment of infertile women with PCOS resistant to CC is not as innocent as is thought. Although, gonadotrophins bear no surgical risk, the probability of the risk of multiple pregnancy and OHSS still exist (23). Compliance of ovulation induction for women with PCOS is also arguable. The lack of randomized studies on this subject is not surprising. Indeed, based on the cost of treatment and injections being the only application route of therapy, gonadotrophins are expected to be used in a limited period of time. Chronic low dose protocol is the recommended way of application. Since the CC resistant women with PCOS are categorized in hyper-responder group, even modified gonadotrophin therapy could not completely solve the hyperresponse problem. Further understanding the pathophysiologic mechanism of anovulatory infertility in PCOS, may yield new medical treatment alternatives or different modifications in the application of current remedies. Finally, LOD was shown to be effective but safety of the procedure has not been thoroughly investigated. Although, this technique has some limitations, such as peri-adnexal adhesions and the risk of overtreatment related ovarian failure or atrophy, available data does not support those concerns requiring drawbacks.

Acknowledgements

None declared.

Authors' Contribution

I am the only author in preparation of the paper.

Financial Disclosure

I have no conflict of interest by any means to disclose.

Funding/Support

There is no sponsor to support this review.

References

- Stein IF, Leventhal ML. Amenorrhea associated with bilateral polycystic ovaries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1935;**29**:181-91.
- Stein IF, Sr. Duration of Fertility Following Ovarian Wedge Resection-Stein-Leventhal Syndrome. *West J Surg Obstet Gynecol.* 1964;**72**:237-42.
- Portuondo JA, Melchor JC, Neyro JL, Alegre A. Periovarian adhesions following ovarian wedge resection or laparoscopic biopsy. *Endoscopy.* 1984;**16**(4):143-5.
- Toaff R, Toaff ME, Peyser MR. Infertility following wedge resection of the ovaries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1976;**124**(1):92-6.
- Gjonnaess H. Polycystic ovarian syndrome treated by ovarian electrocautery through the laparoscope. *Fertil Steril.* 1984;**41**(1):20-5.
- Api M. Is ovarian reserve diminished after laparoscopic ovarian drilling? *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2009;**25**(3):159-65.
- Amer SA, Banu Z, Li TC, Cooke ID. Long-term follow-up of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome after laparoscopic ovarian drilling: endocrine and ultrasonographic outcomes. *Hum Reprod.* 2002;**17**(11):2851-7.
- Api M, Gorgen H, Cetin A. Laparoscopic ovarian drilling in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2005;**119**(1):76-81.
- Gurgan T, Kisinisci H, Yarali H, Develioglu O, Zeyneloglu H, Aksu T. Evaluation of adhesion formation after laparoscopic treatment of polycystic ovarian disease. *Fertil Steril.* 1991;**56**(6):1176-8.
- Dabirashrafi H, Mohamad K, Behjatnia Y, Moghadami-Tabrizi N. Adhesion formation after ovarian electrocauterization on patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 1991;**55**(6):1200-1.
- Gurgan T, Urman B, Aksu T, Yarali H, Develioglu O, Kisinisci HA. The effect of short-interval laparoscopic lysis of adhesions on pregnancy rates following Nd-YAG laser photocoagulation of polycystic ovaries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1992;**80**(1):45-7.
- Naether OG, Fischer R. Adhesion formation after laparoscopic electrocoagulation of the ovarian surface in polycystic ovary patients. *Fertil Steril.* 1993;**60**(1):95-8.
- Naether OG, Fischer R, Weise HC, Geiger-Kotzler L, Delfs T, Rudolf K. Laparoscopic electrocoagulation of the ovarian surface in infertile patients with polycystic ovarian disease. *Fertil Steril.* 1993;**60**(1):88-94.
- Greenblatt EM, Casper RF. Adhesion formation after laparoscopic ovarian cautery for polycystic ovarian syndrome: lack of correlation with pregnancy rate. *Fertil Steril.* 1993;**60**(5):766-70.
- Liguori G, Tolino A, Moccia G, Scognamiglio G, Nappi C. Laparoscopic ovarian treatment in infertile patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS): endocrine changes and clinical outcome. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 1996;**10**(4):257-64.
- Saravelos H, Li TC. Post-operative adhesions after laparoscopic electrosurgical treatment for polycystic ovarian syndrome with the application of Interceed to one ovary: a prospective randomized controlled study. *Hum Reprod.* 1996;**11**(5):992-7.
- Merchant RN. Treatment of polycystic ovary disease with laparoscopic low-watt bipolar electrocoagulation of the ovaries. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc.* 1996;**3**(4):503-8.
- Taskin O, Sadik S, Onoglu A, Gokdeniz R, Yilmaz I, Burak F, et al. Adhesion formation after microlaparoscopic and laparoscopic

- ovarian coagulation for polycystic ovary disease. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc.* 1999;**6**(2):159-63.
19. Kaya H, Sezik M, Ozkaya O. Evaluation of a new surgical approach for the treatment of clomiphene citrate-resistant infertility in polycystic ovary syndrome: laparoscopic ovarian multi-needle intervention. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2005;**12**(4):355-8.
 20. Takahashi K, Kita N, Kimura F, Fujiwara M, Noda Y, Miyazaki K. A comparative study using early second-look laparoscopic evaluation of post-operative adhesion formation between two surgical procedures for polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Gynecological Surgery.* 2007;**4**(1):25-30.
 21. Mercurio F, Mercurio A, Di Spiezio Sardo A, Barba GV, Pellicano M, Nappi C. Evaluation of ovarian adhesion formation after laparoscopic ovarian drilling by second-look minilaparoscopy. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;**89**(5):1229-33.
 22. Roy KK, Baruah J, Moda N, Kumar S. Evaluation of unilateral versus bilateral ovarian drilling in clomiphene citrate resistant cases of polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2009;**280**(4):573-8.
 23. Campo S. Ovulatory cycles, pregnancy outcome and complications after surgical treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 1998;**53**(5):297-308.
 24. Cohen J, Audebert A. [De la mécanique au fonctionnel: place des traitements chirurgicaux in endoscopiques dans les dystrophies ovariennes]. [*Dystrophies ovariennes*]. Paris: Masson; 1989. p. 183-92.
 25. Mohiuddin S, Bessellink D, Farquhar C. Long-term follow up of women with laparoscopic ovarian diathermy for women with clomiphene-resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2007;**47**(6):508-11.
 26. Kaaijk EM, Hamerlynck JV, Beek JF, van der Veen F. Clinical outcome after unilateral oophorectomy in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* 1999;**14**(4):889-92.
 27. Dahlgren E, Johansson S, Lindstedt G, Knutsson F, Oden A, Jansson PO, et al. Women with polycystic ovary syndrome wedge resected in 1956 to 1965: a long-term follow-up focusing on natural history and circulating hormones. *Fertil Steril.* 1992;**57**(3):505-13.
 28. Farquhar C, Lilford RJ, Marjoribanks J, Vandekerckhove P. Laparoscopic "drilling" by diathermy or laser for ovulation induction in anovulatory polycystic ovary syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005(3):CD001122.
 29. Jacobson TZ, Barlow DH, Garry R, Koninckx P. Laparoscopic surgery for pelvic pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2001(4):CD001300.
 30. Garry R, Clayton R, Hawe J. The effect of endometriosis and its radical laparoscopic excision on quality of life indicators. *BJOG.* 2000;**107**(1):44-54.
 31. Jacobson TZ, Barlow DH, Koninckx PR, Olive D, Farquhar C. Laparoscopic surgery for subfertility associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002(4):CD001398.
 32. Chapron C, Querleu D, Bruhat MA, Madelenat P, Fernandez H, Pierre F, et al. Surgical complications of diagnostic and operative gynaecological laparoscopy: a series of 29,966 cases. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;**13**(4):867-72.
 33. Garry R. Complications of laparoscopic entry. *Gynaecol Endosc.* 1997;**6**:319-29.
 34. Jansen FW, Kapiteyn K, Trimbo-Kemper T, Hermans J, Trimbo JB. Complications of laparoscopy: a prospective multicentre observational study. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1997;**104**(5):595-600.
 35. Harkki-Sirén P, Kurki T. A nationwide analysis of laparoscopic complications. *Obst Gyn.* 1997;**89**(1):108-12.
 36. Calman K. On the state of the public health. *Health Trends.* 1995;**27**(3):71-5.
 37. Fauser B, Tarlatzis B, Chang J, Azziz R. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Human Reproduction.* 2004;**19**(1):41-7.
 38. March WA, Moore VM, Willson KJ, Phillips DI, Norman RJ, Davies MJ. The prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome in a community sample assessed under contrasting diagnostic criteria. *Hum Reprod.* 2010;**25**(2):544-51.
 39. Felemban A, Tan SL, Tulandi T. Laparoscopic treatment of polycystic ovaries with insulated needle cautery: a reappraisal. *Fertil Steril.* 2000;**73**(2):266-9.